

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/748,941 Examiner Vivian Chen	Applicant(s) DAVIS ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; padding: 2px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="padding: 2px;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">1794</td> <td style="padding: 2px;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		1794	
Art Unit						
1794						

All Participants:
 (1) Vivian Chen, Exr.
 (2) Ms. Curbelo, Atty.

Status of Application: allowed
 (3) ____
 (4) ____

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008 **Time:** 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
11, 23, 29, 47-48

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Curbelo gave authorization for Examiner's Amendment to place application in condition for allowance (i.e., cancellation of claim 47 (which is a duplicate of claim 11), change of dependency of claim 48 from duplicate claim 47 to claim 11).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vivian Chen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)